

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/058,662  | 01/28/2002  | Ryoichi Mukai        | 2500.66134              | 3822                    |  |
| 7590 02/24/2005   |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                         |  |
| Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. |             |                      | PIZIALI, ANDREW T       |                         |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |             |                      | 1771                    |                         |  |
| Chicago, IL 60  | 0606        |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005 | DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
|  | 10/058,662   | MUKAI, RYOICHI   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Andrew T Piziali   | 1771   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Octo</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>   | action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro   |  |
|  | n parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40   | 70 O.O. 210.   |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/058,662

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 10/12/2004 has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "31" has been used to designate both Co atoms (see the paragraph bridging pages 8 and 9) and grain boundaries (see the paragraph bridging pages 12 and 13). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described

Application/Control Number: 10/058,662

Art Unit: 1771

in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 states that each of the crystal grains contains one of the metallic nucleation sites inside, but the specification does not mention any of the crystal grains containing a metallic nucleation site inside. Although the specification discloses that the seed crystal layer (28) contains the nucleation sites (27) (see paragraph 3 on page 7 and Figure 2), and contains the crystal grains (29) (see paragraph 1 on page 1), the specification does not state that any and/or all of the crystal grains (29) contain one of the metallic nucleation sites (27) inside.

The Figures also fail to show the claimed limitation. Although Figures 2, 10 and 11 show the approximate location of the crystal grain boundaries from a sectional view (shown as rectangle shapes), the Figures do not show that the crystal grains (29) necessarily contain the nucleation sites (27). The Figures as so vague that the crystal grains (29) actually appear to be located behind the nucleation sites rather than surrounding the nucleation sites (27).

In addition, according to the teaching of USPN 5,846,648 to Chen et al., when a grain grows on a spatially spaced nucleation site, the grain does not contain the nucleation site, rather, the grain grows vertically on top of the nucleation site and the size and spacing of the nucleation site dictates the size and spacing of the corresponding grain (see column 8, lines 15 through column 9, line 53, and Figure 2). Thus, the current Figures and the current specification convey to one skilled in the relevant art that each crystal grain (29) grows vertically over a nucleation site (27) rather than containing a nucleation site (27).

Either way of interpreting the Figures, the claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Application/Control Number: 10/058,662

Art Unit: 1771

art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of

rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew T Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

97/2 2/15/02

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700